

# SASAKIAN HYPERSURFACES OF THE GENERALIZED CONCIRCULAR RECURRENT KAHLERIAN MANIFOLD

Y. B. Maralabhavi\* & Hari Baskar R.\*\*

#### **ABSTRACT**

In this paper we consider a recurrent sasakian hypersurface of the generalized concircular recurrent Kahlerian manifold and determine some conditions on the vector fields used in the sasakian structure. Further we determine such conditions for  $\Phi$  sasakian hypersurface also.

### 1. Preliminaries

Let  $M^{2n+2}$  be a 2n+2 dimensional almost Hermitian manifold, with structure tensors (J,G) and the Riemannian connection  $\tilde{\nabla}$  such that  $J^2=-I$  and G(JX,JY)=G(X,Y). An almost Hermitian manifold with  $\tilde{\nabla}J=0$  is known as Kahlerian manifold. Suppose that  $M^{2n+1}$  is a  $C^{\infty}$  hypersurface of  $M^{2n+2}$  with unit normal N and the induced metric g. Thus if di denotes the differential of the imbedding  $i:M^{2n+1}\to M^{2n+2}$ , X a vector field on  $M^{2n+1}$ , then  $\tilde{\chi}$  is

<sup>\*</sup> Department of Mathematics, Bangalore University, Bangalore, India.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Department of Mathematics, Christ University, Bangalore, India.

the extension on  $M^{2n+2}$  of  $\tilde{X}$  and is such that  $\tilde{X}$  restricted to  $M^{2n+1}$  under the imbedding is diX . Also let  $\Theta = \{e_i\}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, 2n+1$  be an orthonormal basis of the tangent space at any point of the manifold  $M^{2n+1}$  then  $\overline{\Theta} = \{e_i, N\}$   $i = 1, 2, \ldots, 2n+1$  is an orthonormal basis for the tangent space at any point on the manifold  $M^{2n+2}$ . Hence

$$G(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = g(X, Y), \quad G(N, N) = 1, \quad G(\tilde{X}, N) = 0$$
 (1.1)

and its Riemannian connection  $\nabla$  is governed by Gauss-Weingarten equations

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{X}}\tilde{Y} = (\tilde{\nabla}_{X}Y) + h(X, Y)N, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{X}}N = -(H'X)$$
(1.2)

where h denotes the second fundamental form and H' the corresponding Weingarten map. Also the submanifold  $M^{2n+1}$  inherits an almost contact metric structure  $(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$  [1] [2] given by

$$J\tilde{X} = (\phi \tilde{X}) + \eta(X)N, \qquad JN = -\tilde{\xi}$$
 (1.3)

Then (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3) lead to the following conditions in  $M^{2n+1}$ :  $\phi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \eta \circ \phi = 0, \quad \phi \xi = 0, \quad \eta(\xi) = I, \tag{1.4}$ 

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), \qquad \eta(X) = g(X, \xi).$$
 (1.5)

An almost contact metric structure  $(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$  is Sasakian if and only if

$$(\nabla_{\mathbf{X}}\phi)\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})\boldsymbol{\xi} - \boldsymbol{\eta}(\mathbf{Y})\mathbf{X} \tag{1.6}$$

If K is the curvature tensor of type  $\ (0,4)$  and  $\ S$  is the Ricci tensor of type  $\ (0,2)$  in the sasakian manifold  $\ M^{2n+1}$ , then the following conditions [1] hold in a sasakian manifold

$$S(X,\xi) = 2n \eta(X) \tag{1.7}$$

$$g(K(\xi, X)Y, \xi) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)$$
(1.8)

$$K(\xi, X)\xi = -X + \eta(X)\xi \tag{1.9}$$

$$g(K(X,Y)\xi,Z) = g(X,Z)\eta(Y) - g(Y,Z)\eta(X)$$
(1.10)

$$(\nabla_{\mathbf{X}}\phi)(\mathbf{Y}) = \mathbf{R}(\xi, \mathbf{X})\mathbf{Y} \tag{1.11}$$

If  $\Omega$  is the 2-form on  $M^{2n+1}$  defined by

$$\Omega(X,Y) = g(X,\phi Y) = -g(\phi X,Y) = -\Omega(Y,X)$$
(1.12)

then from (1.5), we get

$$(\nabla_{\mathbf{X}} \eta)(\mathbf{Y}) = g(\mathbf{X}, \varphi \mathbf{Y}) \tag{1.13}$$

If  $\tilde{K}$  the curvature tensor in the Kahlerian manifold  $M^{2n+2}$ , then we have the following well known Gauss-Codazzi equations

$$K(X,Y,Z,W) = \tilde{K}(\tilde{X},\tilde{Y},\tilde{Z},\tilde{W}) + h(X,W)h(Y,Z) - h(X,Z)h(Y,W)$$
(1.14)

$$\tilde{K}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}, N) = (\nabla_X h)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y h)(X, Z)$$
(1.15)

where  $\tilde{K}(\tilde{X},\tilde{Y},\tilde{Z},\tilde{W})$  and K(X,Y,Z,W) are given by  $G(\tilde{K}(\tilde{X},\tilde{Y})\tilde{Z},\tilde{W})$  and g(K(X,Y)Z,W) respectively.

A Kahlerian manifold  $M^{2n+2}$  is said to be a generalized concircular recurrent manifold [6] if there exists a non-zero 1-forms  $\tilde{A}$  and  $\tilde{B}$  such that

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{\mathbf{U}}}\tilde{\mathbf{C}})(\tilde{\mathbf{X}},\tilde{\mathbf{Y}},\tilde{\mathbf{Z}},\tilde{\mathbf{W}}) = \tilde{\mathbf{A}}(\tilde{\mathbf{U}})\tilde{\mathbf{C}}(\tilde{\mathbf{X}},\tilde{\mathbf{Y}},\tilde{\mathbf{Z}},\tilde{\mathbf{W}}) + \tilde{\mathbf{B}}(\tilde{\mathbf{U}})\tilde{\mathbf{F}}(\tilde{\mathbf{X}},\overline{\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}},\overline{\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}},\overline{\tilde{\mathbf{W}}})$$
(1.16)

for arbitrary vector fields  $\tilde{X}$ ,  $\tilde{Y}$ ,  $\tilde{Z}$ ,  $\tilde{W}$  and  $\tilde{U}$  on  $M^{2n+2}$ , where

$$\widetilde{C}(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{Y}, \widetilde{Z}, \widetilde{\widetilde{W}}) = \widetilde{K}(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{Y}, \widetilde{Z}, \widetilde{\widetilde{W}}) - \frac{R}{(2n+1)(2n+2)} \widetilde{F}(\widetilde{X}, \overline{\underline{\widetilde{Y}}}, \underline{\widetilde{Z}}, \overline{\widetilde{W}})$$
(1.17)

and

$$\tilde{F}(\tilde{X}, \overline{\tilde{Y}}, \underline{\tilde{Z}}, \overline{\tilde{W}}) = G(\tilde{X}, \tilde{W})G(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{Y}) - G(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Z})G(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{W})$$
(1.18)

with  $\tilde{A}(\tilde{U}) = G(\tilde{U},\tilde{\rho}')$  and  $\tilde{B}(\tilde{U}) = G(\tilde{U},\tilde{\rho}'')$  for some vector fields  $\tilde{\rho}'$ ,  $\tilde{\rho}''$ . (Note: In (1.18), the bars above  $\tilde{Y}$  and  $\tilde{W}$  indicate that they are swapped to get the first term from  $G(\tilde{X},\tilde{Y})G(\tilde{Z},\tilde{W})$  and the bars below  $\tilde{Y}$  and  $\tilde{Z}$  indicates that they are swapped to get the second term from  $G(\tilde{X},\tilde{Y})G(\tilde{Z},\tilde{W})$ . If the second fundamental tensor h(X,Y) satisfies the condition [4]

$$h(X, Y) = g(X, Y) + \mu \eta(X) \eta(Y)$$
 (1.19)

then  $M^{2n+1}$  is called a c-umbilical hypersurface. Seiichi Yamaguchi [4] has proved that an immersed hypersurface in Kahlerian manifold is sasakian if and only if it is cumbilical, with

$$\mu = (2n+1)(H-1) \tag{1.20}$$

in (1.19), where H is the mean curvature. If H is a constant then  $\nabla \mu = 0$  and the immersed hypersurface is called as the CMC hypersurface.

## 2. Recurrent Sasakian Hypersurfaces:

**Definition 2.1** A Sasakian manifold is said to be a Recurrent manifold [3] if there exists a non-zero 1-form A(U) such that

$$(\nabla_U K)(X, Y, Z, W) = A(U)K(X, Y, Z, W)$$
(2.1)

Where X, Y, Z, W and U are the arbitrary vector fields and  $A(U) = g(U, \rho') = G(\tilde{U}, \tilde{\rho}') = \tilde{A}(\tilde{U})$ , for some vector field  $\rho'$ .

Theorem 2.2 Suppose  $M^{2n+1}$  is a recurrent sasakian hypersurface of a generalized concircular recurrent Kahlerian manifold  $M^{2n+2}$ , then  $\xi$  is orthogonal to the vector field  $\rho'' - \lambda \rho'$  if and only if  $\tilde{\xi}[R] = A(\xi)\,R - 4(n+1)\,\xi[\mu]$ , where  $\lambda = 1 + (2\mu/(2n+1))$  and  $\rho'$  and  $\rho''$  are the vector fields associated with the one forms A and B.

**Proof** By applying  $\nabla_U$  to (1.17) and using (1.1), (1.2), (1.4), (1.5), (1.12), (1.13), (1.14), (1.15), (1.16), (1.17), (1.18) and (1.19) we get

$$\begin{split} (\nabla_{U}K)(X,Y,Z,W) &= A(U)K(X,Y,Z,W) \\ &+ \left\{ B(U) - A(U) + \frac{\tilde{U}\left[\widetilde{R}\right] - RA(U)}{(2n+1)(2n+2)} \right\} F(X,\overline{Y},\underline{Z},\overline{W}) \\ &+ \left\{ U\left[\mu\right] - A(U) \right\} \left\{ \eta(X)F(\xi,\overline{Y},Z,\overline{W}) \right\} \\ &+ \eta(Y)F(X,\overline{\xi},Z,\overline{W}) \right\} \\ &+ g(U,X) \left\{ \left(W\left[\mu\right]\eta(Z) - Z\left[\mu\right]\eta(W)\right)\eta(Y) \right\} \\ &+ g(U,Y) \left\{ \left(Z\left[\mu\right]\eta(W) - W\left[\mu\right]\eta(Z)\right)\eta(X) \right\} \\ &+ g(U,Z) \left\{ \left(Y\left[\mu\right]\eta(X) - X\left[\mu\right]\eta(Y)\right)\eta(W) \right\} \\ &+ g(U,W) \left\{ \left(X\left[\mu\right]\eta(Y) - Y\left[\mu\right]\eta(X)\right)\eta(Z) \right\} \\ &+ \mu g(U,X) \left(2\Omega(W,Z)\eta(Y) - F(\xi,\overline{\phiY},Z,\overline{W})\right) \\ &+ \mu g(U,Y) \left(2\Omega(Z,W)\eta(X) - F(\phi X,\overline{\xi},Z,\overline{W})\right) \\ &+ \mu g(U,Z) \left(2\Omega(Y,X)\eta(W) - F(X,\overline{Y},\underline{\xi},\overline{\phi}W)\right) \\ &+ \mu g(U,W) \left(2\Omega(X,Y)\eta(Z) - F(X,\overline{Y},\phi Z,\overline{\xi})\right) \\ &+ \mu (\Omega(U,X)F(\xi,\overline{Y},Z,\overline{W}) + \Omega(U,Y)F(X,\overline{\xi},Z,\overline{W})) \end{split}$$

Since  $M^{2n+1}$  is recurrent, we use (2.1) in (2.2). Then by replacing U by  $\xi$  and choosing  $X=W=e_i$ ,  $Y=Z=e_j$ , and taking summation over i,j  $1 \le i,j \le 2n+1$ , we get

$$\tilde{\xi}[R] = A(\xi) R 
+2(n+1)[(2(n+\mu)+1)A(\xi)-(2n+1)B(\xi)] 
-4(n+1)\xi[\mu]$$
(2.3)

and hence the theorem.

By virtue of theorem 2.2 and (1.20), we have

Corollary 2.3 Suppose  $M^{2n+1}$  is a recurrent sasakian hypersurface of a generalized concircular recurrent Kahlerian manifold  $M^{2n+2}$ . Then  $M^{2n+1}$  is CMC if and only if  $\tilde{\xi}[R] = R \; \tilde{A}(\tilde{\xi})$ .

Corollary 2.4 Suppose  $M^{2n+1}$  is a recurrent sasakian CMC hypersurface of a generalized concircular recurrent Kahlerian manifold  $M^{2n+2}$ , then  $M^{2n+2}$  is flat if and only if R=0.

# 3. $\varphi$ -recurrent Sasakian Hypersurfaces:

**Definition 3.1** A Sasakian manifold is said to be  $\,\phi-\,$  recurrent manifold [5] if there exists a non-zero 1-form  $\,A\,$  such that

$$\varphi^{2}((\nabla_{U}K)(X,Y,Z,W) = A(U)K(X,Y,Z,W)$$
(3.1)

for arbitrary vector fields X, Y, Z, W and U where K is the curvature tensor in Sasakian manifold.

If a Sasakian manifold  $M^{2n+1}$  is a  $\varphi$  - recurrent manifold, then the following relation holds [5]

$$(\nabla_{U}K)(X,Y,Z,W) = \{g(Y,U)g(\phi X,Z) - g(X,U)g(\phi Y,Z) - g(\phi K(X,Y)U,Z)\}g(\xi,W) - A(U)K(X,Y,Z,W)$$
(3.2)

Theorem 3.2 Suppose  $M^{2n+1}$  is a  $\phi$ -recurrent sasakian hypersueneralized concircular recurrent Kahlerian manifold  $M^{2n+2}$ . Then  $\xi$  is orthogonal to the vector field  $\rho'' - \lambda \rho'$  if and only if  $\xi[R] = A(\xi) \, R - 4(n+1) \, \xi[\mu]$ , where  $\lambda = 1 + \frac{2\mu n - r}{n(2n+1)}$  and  $\rho'$ ,  $\rho''$  are the vector fields associated with the one forms A and B.

Proof By applying  $\nabla_U$  to (1.12) and using (1.1), (1.2), (1.4), (1.5), (1.7), (1.8), (1.9), (1.10), (1.11), (1.12), (1.13) and (1.14) we get the expression, same as (2.2). Since  $M^{2n+1}$  is  $\Phi$  -recurrent we use (3.2) in (2.2) to obtain

$$\begin{split} 2A(U)K(X,Y,Z,W) \\ +A(U)\{[g(X,U)g(\phi Y,U) - g(Y,U)g(\phi X,Z)] \\ &-K(X,Y,U,\phi Z)\}g(\xi,W) \\ + \left\{B(U) - A(U) + \frac{\tilde{U}\left[\tilde{R}\right] - R\ A(U)}{(2n+1)(2n+2)}\right\}F(X,\overline{Y},\underline{Z},\overline{W}) \\ + \left\{U\left[\mu\right] - A(U)\right\}\left\{\eta(X)F(\xi,\overline{Y},Z,\overline{W}) + \eta(Y)F(X,\overline{\xi},Z,\overline{W})\right\} \\ + g(U,X)\left\{\left(W\left[\mu\right]\eta(Z) - Z\left[\mu\right]\eta(W)\right)\eta(Y)\right\} \\ + g(U,Y)\left\{\left(Z\left[\mu\right]\eta(W) - W\left[\mu\right]\eta(Z)\right)\eta(X)\right\} \\ + g(U,Z)\left\{\left(Y\left[\mu\right]\eta(X) - X\left[\mu\right]\eta(Y)\right)\eta(W)\right\} \\ + g(U,Z)\left\{\left(Y\left[\mu\right]\eta(Y) - Y\left[\mu\right]\eta(Y)\right)\eta(Z)\right\} \\ + \mu\ g(U,X)\left\{2\Omega(W,Z)\eta(Y) - F(\xi,\overline{\phi Y},Z,\overline{W})\right) \\ + \mu\ g(U,Y)\left\{2\Omega(X,W)\eta(X) - F(\phi X,\overline{\xi},Z,\overline{W})\right) \\ + \mu\ g(U,Z)\left\{2\Omega(Y,X)\eta(W) - F(X,\overline{Y},\xi,\overline{\phi}W)\right) \\ + \mu\ g(U,X)F(\xi,\overline{Y},Z,\overline{W}) + \Omega(U,Y)F(X,\overline{\xi},Z,\overline{W})\right\} \\ + \mu(\Omega(U,X)F(\xi,\overline{Y},Z,\overline{W}) + \Omega(U,Y)F(X,\overline{Y},\xi,\overline{W})) = 0 \end{split} \tag{3.3}$$

Replacing U by  $\xi$ , and choosing  $X=W=e_i$ ,  $Y=Z=e_j$  and taking the summation over i, j,  $1 \le i$ ,  $j \le 2n+1$ , we get

$$\xi[R] = A(\xi) R$$

$$+2(n+1)[(2(n+\mu) - \frac{r}{n})A(\xi) - (2n+1)B(\xi)]$$

$$-4(n+1)\xi[\mu]$$
(3.4)

hence the theorem.

In [5] it has been proved that "A  $\varphi$ -recurrent sasakian manifold  $(M^{2n+1},g)$ , n>1, is a space of constant curvature, provided that X and Y are orthogonal to  $\xi$ ".

Using the condition in the above theorem that X and Y are orthogonal to  $\xi$  in (3.3) and replacing U by  $\xi$ , and choosing  $X=W=e_i$ ,  $Y=Z=e_j$ , and taking summation over i, j,  $1 \le i$ ,  $j \le 2n+1$ , we get

$$\xi[R] = A(\xi) R + 2(n+1)[(2n+1-\frac{r}{n})A(\xi) - (2n+1)B(\xi)]$$
(3.5)

Hence we have the theorem:

Theorem 3.4 Suppose  $M^{2n+1}$  is a  $\phi-$  recurrent sasakian space of constant curvature immersed in a generalized concircular recurrent Kahlerian manifold  $M^{2n+2}$ ,  $\xi$  is orthogonal to  $\rho''-\lambda\rho'$  if and only if  $\tilde{\xi}[R]=R$   $A(\xi)$ , where  $\lambda=1-\frac{r}{n(2n+1)}$  and  $\rho'$ ,  $\rho''$  are the vector fields associated with the one forms A and B.

By virtue of the theorem 3.2, theorem 3.4 and using (1.20) we have:

Corollary 3.5 Suppose  $M^{2n+1}$  is a  $\phi$ -recurrent sasakian space of constant curvature immersed in a generalized concircular recurrent Kahlerian manifold  $M^{2n+2}$ . Then the mean curvature H=1 in  $M^{2n+1}$ .

Acknowledgement: The second author would like to acknowledge the support of Christ University administration for deputing him to Ph.D Programme.

#### References

- D. E. Blair, Contact Manifolds in Riemannian Geometry, Lecture notes in Mathematics, Vol. 509, Springer Verlag, Berlin – New York, 1976.
- David E Blair, Gerald D Ludden and Kentaro Yano, Induced Structures on Submanifolds, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep. 22(1970), 188-198.
- Mileva Provanovic, On some hypersurfaces of a Recurrent Riemannian Space, Publications de L'Institut Mathematique, Nouvelle serietome 47 (61), 1990, 103-112.
- 4. Seiichi Yamaguchi, On a c-umbilical hypersuraface of a Kahlerian manifold with vanishing bochner curvature tensor, Tensor N S, Vol 20 (1969).
- 5. U.C.De, A.A. Shaikh, Sudipta Biswas, "On  $\, \phi \,$  recurrent sasakian manifolds", Novi Sad J. Math, Vol.33, No.2, 2003, 43-48.
- U.C.De AND D Kamilya,"On generalized concircular recurrent manifold, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., 86, 69-72 (1994).